

***ANALYZING THE APPLICATION OF THE QUESTION AND
ANSWER METHOD IN IMPROVING LEARNING OUTCOMES
IN ELEMENTARY PKN EDUCATION***

ARTIKEL ILMIAH

Diajukan Kepada Fakultas Keguruan Dan Ilmu Pendidikan Untuk Memenuhi
Salah Satu Memperoleh Gelar Sarjana Pendidikan (SI)



Disusun Oleh :

**INDRIYATI SULISTIO
41182109210076**

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN GURU SEKOLAH DASAR
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN
UNIVERSITAS ISLAM 45 BEKASI
2025**

LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN PEMBIMBING

Skripsi ini diajukan oleh:

Nama : Indriyati Sulistio
NPM : 41182109210076
Program Studi : Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar
Jenis Penelitian : *Systematic Literature Review (SLR)*
Judul : *Analyzing The Application Of The Question And Answer Method In Improving Learning Outcomes In Elementary PKn Education*

Penelitian ini telah diperiksa dan disetujui sesuai dengan ketentuan dan aturan yang berlaku agar dapat dilanjutkan kepada tahap Sidang Skripsi.

Bekasi, 30 Juni 2025

Mengetahui,

Dosen Pembimbing 1



(Arrahim, M.Pd.)

Dosen Pembimbing 2



(Deden Dicky Dermawan, M.Pd.)


Diketahui dan Disetujui Oleh :

Dekan



(Yudi Budianti, M.Pd.)

Ketua Program Studi PGSD



(Arrahim, M.Pd.)

LEMBAR PENGESAHAN PENGUJI


Skripsi ini diajukan oleh:

Nama : Indriyati Sulistio
NPM : 41182109210076
Program Studi : Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar (PGSD)
Jenis Penelitian : *Systematic Literature Review (SLR)*
Judul : *Analyzing The Application Of The Question And Answer Method In
Improving Learning Outcomes In Elementary PKn Education*

Telah berhasil dipertahankan dihadapan Dosen Penguji dan diterima sebagai bagian dari persyaratan yang diperlukan untuk memperoleh Gelar Sarjana S1 pada Program Studi Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar (PGSD) Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan (FKIP) Universitas Islam 45 Bekasi.

Tim Penguji

1. Arrahim, S.Pd., M.Pd



(.....)

2. Dwi Safitri Mujiani, S.Pd., M.Pd



(.....)

3. Muhammad Rasis Najwan, M.Pd



(.....)

Ditetapkan di : Bekasi

Tanggal : 22 Juli 2025

HALAMAN PERNYATAAN ORISINALITAS

Yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : Indriyati Sulistio
NPM : 41182109210076
Program Studi : Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar (PGSD)
Fakultas : Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan (FKIP)
Jenis Penelitian : *Systematic Literature Review (SLR)*
Judul : *Analyzing The Application Of The Question And Answer Method
In Improving Learning Outcomes In Elementary PKn Education*

Dengan ini, penulis menyatakan bahwa Artikel Ilmiah ini merupakan hasil karya sendiri dan semua sumber baik dikutip maupun dirujuk telah penulis nyatakan benar.

Bekasi, 22 Juli 2025

Penulis,



Indriyati Sulistio

NPM: 41182109210076

Articles

The Effect of Operational Efficiency on Café Performance

Ramadian Maghvira Saragih, Muhammad Irwan Padli Nasution , Nurbaiti Nurbaiti
512-525

 PDF

Learning Interest and Gender Differences in Indonesian High Schools: Their Influence on Understanding the Concepts of Work and Energy

Yustina Safitri, Sentot Kusairi
526-539

 PDF

Learning Community Management in Improving Teachers' Pedagogic Competency

Sudiati Sudiati, Tri Widayatsih, Syaiful Eddy
540-556

 PDF

Utilization of Mosques as a Learning Facility for Religious Education at Taufik Mosque, Tegal Rejo Village

Jehanda Alhafiz, Mario Kasduri
557-569

 PDF

The Impact of the Question-and-Answer Method on Learning Outcomes in Elementary Civic Education (PKn): A Systematic Literature Review

Indriyati Sulistio, Arrahim Arrahim, Deden Dicky Dermawan
570-585

 PDF

The Impact of Digital Financial Services on Financial Literacy in South Kalimantan, Indonesia

Sri Suryani, TA Hariyono, Syamsul Adha, Sucipto Sucipto, Muhammad Jahri
586-593

 PDF

National Mobilization and Relevance for Defense Education Strategy

Syarif Hidayatullah, Toni Toni, Joni Widjayanto, Ignatius Eko Djoko Purwanto, Guntur Eko Saputro
594-604

 PDF

Enhancing Student Participation in Extracurricular Activities Through the Merdeka Curriculum: A Qualitative Study

Desi Sariwani, Muhammad Kristiawan, Suherman Suherman
605-615

 PDF

The Impact of Incentives and Work Discipline on Teacher Performance in Tanjung Lago Junior High Schools

Dessy Natalla, Nur Ahyani, Djunaldi Djunaldi
616-627

 PDF

The Impact of the Question-and-Answer Method on Learning Outcomes in Elementary Civic Education (PKn): A Systematic Literature Review

Indriyati Sulistio¹, Arrahim¹, Deden Dicky Dermawan¹

¹Universitas Islam 45 Bekasi, West Java, Indonesia

Corresponding author e-mail: sulistioindriyati@gmail.com

Article History: Received on 11 April 2025, Revised on 9 June 2025,
Published on 18 June 2025

Abstract: In elementary PKn Education, traditional teacher-centered approaches often limit student engagement and hinder learning outcomes. To address this, interactive teaching strategies such as the Question-and-Answer (Q&A) method have gained attention for their potential to enhance conceptual understanding and student participation. This study aims to systematically review existing literature to evaluate the impact of the Q&A method on student learning outcomes in elementary PKn Education classes. Using a quantitative approach within the framework of a Systematic Literature Review (SLR), the research analyses relevant studies that explore the application of the Q&A method in primary school PKn Education. The review focuses on student engagement, conceptual understanding, and academic performance. The findings reveal that the Q&A method significantly enhances student involvement, supports deeper understanding of PKn concepts, and leads to improved academic achievement. The method fosters a more dynamic and participatory classroom environment, which positively affects learning outcomes. This systematic review highlights the effectiveness of the Q&A method as a student-centred instructional strategy in PKn Education at the elementary level. It underscores the need for educators to adopt interactive teaching techniques to improve student learning, and provides a foundation for future research and practice in the field.

Keywords: Elementary School, Learning Outcomes, Q&A

A. Introduction

According to Law No. 20/2003 on the National Education System, the aim of Civics (PKn) Education is “to provide students with basic knowledge and understanding of the duties and rights of citizens and the nature of national defence, in order to make them be reliable citizens of the Republic of Indonesia”. The aim of PKn in schools is for students to actively develop their various potentials so that they better understand their rights and obligations as Indonesian citizens (Anisah & Marzuki, 2019). PKn is one of the most important subjects to be studied by elementary school students, which teaches about events, facts and concepts related to national life. It

should be noted that PKn for students will have positive impacts on students themselves. Therefore PKn is very important for students to foster better character values in students (Hendrik, 2024) (Hermansyah et al., 2021). PKn is one of the subjects that focuses on the formation of diverse self in terms of religion, social, culture, language, age and ethnicity to become intelligent, skilled and characterful citizens based on Pancasila in the Constitution. The purpose of learning PKn in elementary schools is to make students good citizens, namely students who know, want, and are aware of their rights and obligations (Adiputra et al., 2021). Therefore, discussing the results of good education cannot be separated from the role of teachers. Thus, PKn lessons can influence students' thought patterns, attitudes, and behavior to become good citizens. Learning PKn in secondary schools also helps students become active and responsible citizens in the future. They are taught to appreciate diversity, respect the rights of others, and participate in the decision-making process that takes into account common interests (Setiawati et al., 2024). Therefore, the learning process must be changed immediately. The role of teachers who have dominated learning activities must be reduced and students must be given greater opportunities to participate actively in the learning process. It is time for teacher-centred learning to be changed to student-centred learning. Teachers must be able to adjust between teaching materials and learning models so that students can learn well (Hang & Van, 2020).

However, in reality in the learning process, this hope has not been realized. Turns out that in PKn learning, one of the subjects that is less popular with most elementary school students (SD) both in lower and upper classes. This is because in PKn learning, students will learn about ideology, democracy, unity and unity of citizenship, etc. So it is expected to help them understand the laws and regulations. Problems with learning outcomes factors that influence learning outcomes include internal factors and external factors. Internal factors include physiological factors and psychological factors (Bhowmik et al., 2023). While external factors include environmental factors and international factors (Bayudi, 2020).

Student learning problems are influenced by internal and external factors. Internal factors include intellectual components, such as intelligence, talent, interest, and motivation, as well as physical conditions and conditions. External factors include students' social conditions, such as their environment, finances, family, school, and the community around them. To overcome these difficulties, students need help and digest textbooks, as well as overcome other obstacles. Students' learning difficulties need to be understood and overcome as soon as possible to achieve teaching goals correctly in order to obtain the best learning outcomes.

One of the causes of low learning outcomes is that in the implementation of learning, teachers still predominantly use lecture and assignment methods in the PKn learning process, so that the learning process in the classroom does not take place as expected (Rone et al., 2023). This is identified by problems such as low PKn learning outcomes

for grade V students. Stated that the curriculum is too heavy for elementary school level is too high compared to the abilities of elementary school children so that teachers still make many mistakes (Putri & Riastini, 2023).

This is what makes the learning process boring for students. Of course, this will result in student learning outcomes that are not in accordance with the targets that the teacher wants to achieve. During learning, teachers and students talk to each other. Students must be actively involved in the learning process so that the process is more effective. Teachers must encourage students to listen, provide opportunities to view media, ask questions, or provide responses, so that creative and interactive discussions occur. All of this will help the learning process become more effective. Teachers and the way students learn are closely related, especially in the process of developing skills. Skills that need to be developed by students include thinking skills, social skills, and practical skills (Rokhmah, 2022).

Learning outcomes are the abilities possessed by students after receiving their learning experiences. The achievement of PKn learning objectives can be seen from the learning outcomes obtained by students in PKn learning. The efforts made by teachers in the learning process are to help students achieve the expected goals, including improving learning outcomes. (Mahdalena et al., 2014) stated that "learning outcomes are values in student success in the classroom after undergoing evaluation". The purpose of learning outcomes is to achieve educational goals through the implementation of the learning process in the classroom, which will have an impact and change students. Based on the results of one of (Mahdalena et al., 2014) revealed that research on low student learning outcomes, according to research, is a poor learning strategy applied by teachers as educators. This condition is characterized by a lack of media that supports the teaching and learning process, which causes teaching and learning activities in the classroom not to run well.

Based on the results of (Knowles, 2018) said that the problem of delivering material in civic education in the classroom is still less contextual. This can be seen from the learning process that does not give students the opportunity to learn to build their own knowledge. Teachers, however, more often provide explanations about the material and give individual assignments. However, student learning should not memorize lesson material, instead they must learn through experience and solve problems in real-world situations. Education is a conscious and planned effort to create a learning environment and learning process where students actively develop their potential to have spiritual religious strength, self-control, personality, intelligence, noble morals, and the skills needed for themselves, society, nation, and state. from ignorance to knowledge, from inability to understand to understanding, and so on (D. R. Damanik et al., 2023).

In civics learning, it is still teacher-centered and textbook-centered. For aggressive children, the self-development process will run if given ample opportunity to be

creative (Mahdalena et al., 2014). On the other hand, for passive children, the role of teachers as influences and facilitators is needed for maximum student self-development. The consequences of teachers' teaching methods that have not used the right learning methods have an impact on student learning outcomes. Researchers believe that learning outcomes for Civics subjects in elementary schools are expected in learning activities that are carried out to be as interesting as possible to improve learning outcomes (Subkhi Mahmasani, 2020).

The Q&A method is a learning approach that allows direct communication between teachers and students because there is a direct discussion between the two. This can be applied by the teacher asking questions about the subject matter and students answering them or by students asking questions and teachers answering them (Hasanah, 2022). The phenomenon of such learning practices has an impact on low student learning outcomes in Civics subjects. As expressed by (Reichert & and Print, 2018), the influence of the Civics curriculum has not been implemented effectively in most schools. According to (Serin, 2018), the teacher-centred Civics learning method causes the learning process to be less effective and students only receive concepts or materials without providing collaboration, therefore, learning must try new things to improve student learning achievement. The solution to overcome this problem can be solved by improving the learning activity process that involves students playing an active role in the Civics learning process to influence student learning outcomes by using effective and innovative learning methods with the Q&A method.

According to (Nguyen et al., 2021) Q&A learning is a method of delivering lessons by teachers by asking questions and students answering. Q&A learning emphasizes interaction between students. As a result, students will be more active in talking to their friends. From this communication, it is expected that students can master the lesson material easily as stated by (Muthmainnah et al., 2022), students find it easier to understand explanations from their friends than explanations from teachers, because their level of knowledge and thinking is more in line and equivalent. This method is intended to review the previous lesson so that students can focus their attention again on a number of advances that have been achieved so that they can continue to the next lesson and to stimulate students' attention. This method can be used as apperception, interlude, and evaluation. According to (Ulfa et al., 2023), the benefits of the Q&A method are: (1) Questions can attract and focus students' attention; (2) Stimulate students to train and develop their thinking skills, including memory; (3) Increase students' courage and skills in answering and expressing opinions; (4) Can find out students' thinking abilities and their specialties in expressing main ideas in answers; (5) Can find out how strong the knowledge that students have learned is; and (6) Can find out how the process is used (Gani, 2021).

The advantages and disadvantages of the Q&A method are a) learning becomes more lively by encouraging students to think actively; b) this approach teaches students to dare to express ideas and opinions; c) encourages students to pay

attention to the teacher during the learning process; and d) teachers can control what students know and understand. However, the disadvantages of the Q&A method include: 1) Students' answers do not always match the answers to the questions; 2) it takes time to get the correct answer; 3) teachers must create a pleasant and relaxed atmosphere so that students are able to answer; 4) create questions that are appropriate to the level of students' thinking and are easy for students to understand; and 5) it takes time to ask questions to each student. By using the Q&A method effectively, teachers can improve the quality of learning and help students achieve learning goals so that Civics learning outcomes can be overcome by the Q&A method to change conventional learning methods into innovative and constructive learning models so that they can spur students to learn which influences student learning outcomes (Immanuella et al., 2023).

Based on the description above, analysing the application of the Q&A Method in improving learning outcomes in elementary PKn education is important. The purpose of this study is to determine the description of the Q&A method on civics learning outcomes for elementary school students.

B. Methods

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to identify, evaluate, and synthesize relevant research concerning the use of the Q&A method in improving learning outcomes in Civic Education (PKn) among elementary school students. The SLR was conducted following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews) protocol, ensuring transparency and reproducibility in the review process. The results of the study indicate that in the development of information systems, web-based platforms are the most common (Triandini et al., 2019). This method aims to identify, evaluate, and interpret all findings related to the research topic in order to answer previously established research questions by analyzing relevant scientific articles from Google Scholar, with the keywords "Q&A Method", "Learning Outcomes", "Elementary School" and PKn. The inclusion criteria include: (1) Journal articles with subjects X and Y, (2) Journal articles using Indonesian, (3) Journal articles that are appropriate to the subject for elementary school students, (4) Journal articles published in the Google Scholar database, (5) Journal articles with a publication period of the last 10 years, (6) Journal articles that can be accessed and downloaded.

To ensure comprehensive coverage, a literature search was conducted using Google Scholar. A Systematic Literature Review (SLR) is a scientific study that focuses on specific questions and uses explicit scientific methods that have previously been aimed at identifying, selecting, reviewing, assessing and summarizing similar research findings/results in scientific articles. Using Boolean operators, the following keyword combinations were used during the search process: "Metode tanya jawab" AND "hasil belajar" AND "sekolah dasar" AND "PKn", "Q&A method" AND "learning outcomes" AND "elementary school" AND "civic education".

Articles were selected based on the following inclusion criteria: published between 2014 and 2024 written in the Indonesian, focused on the elementary school level (grades 1–6), examined the impact of the Q&A method on learning outcomes. Published in peer-reviewed academic journals Accessible and downloadable full-text articles. Articles were excluded if they (a) focused on non-elementary levels, (b) used other teaching methods without comparison to Q&A, or (c) lacked empirical findings. The initial search yielded 135 articles. After duplicate removal and title/abstract screening, 22 articles were considered potentially relevant. Following a full-text review based on inclusion criteria, 10 articles were included in the final synthesis. The selection process is illustrated in the PRISMA flow diagram (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Illustrates the Stages Involved in the Literature Selection Process for This Study

The selected articles were analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively. Thematic analysis was used to extract recurring patterns and outcomes. In terms of quantitative synthesis, findings from the 10 reviewed studies revealed that the Q&A method led to an average improvement of 44.07% in student learning outcomes, particularly in cognitive and affective domains of Civic Education. This study has several limitations. First, the analysis was limited to articles written in Indonesian, which may exclude high-quality international studies relevant to the topic. Second, although multiple databases were consulted, there remains a possibility of

publication bias due to the predominance of open-access sources. Lastly, this review focused only on PKn subjects, limiting generalizability to other subjects.

C. Result and Discussion

The literature was searched through the Google Scholar database using predetermined keywords, the number of search results reached 10 journals that met all inclusion criteria. To see the overall results of the study can be seen in Table 1. This study aims to examine the use of question-and-answer methods that can affect the learning outcomes of elementary school students' civics. The research method used in this study is the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) or systematic literature review is a literature review method that identifies, assesses, and analyses the results of research on all findings on a research topic (research questions) that have been previously determined.

The Q&A method is a method used by educators in the context of active individual learning by resulting in direct communication that is two-way traffic between fellow students, or between students and educators. (Saragih et al., 2022). The Q&A method is considered very necessary to be applied in learning activities, especially at the elementary school level, students prefer fun things rather than learning which is sometimes boring to do. After reviewing several journal articles found, it turned out that there were many problems in learning activities explained by grade IV students of Tebing Tinggi Elementary School. That teacher-centered learning results in students getting less opportunity to think actively, express opinions, interact with their classmates, and students feel bored. To overcome the problem of declining student learning outcomes, several researchers have applied the Q&A method in learning. Based on Table 1, the results of the research of the 10 journals above, there are 8 research journals in high class, namely IV, V and 2 research journals in low class, namely class II. The results prove that the Q&A learning method can improve the learning outcomes of elementary school students' civics. All 10 journals analyzed met all inclusion criteria, with the following analysis: (journal), (2) Problems, (3) Samples, (4) Research Results.

Table 1. Multiple Linear Analysis Result

No	Journal	Problems	Sample	Results
1	Enhancing PKn outcomes through discussion. Erminawaty (2016).	Low PKn outcomes result from poor methods and student hesitation to speak.	Grade IV students at SD Negeri 112136 Rantaurapat, totaling 31 students.	Question-and-answer method enhances learning.
2	Improving PKn Learning Through Q&A. (Suwela, 2018).	Overuse of lectures and lack of creativity lower student engagement and understanding.	Grade IV students in the odd semester of the 2015/2016 academic year, totaling 19 students.	Question-answer method improves learning.
3	Improving Student Learning Outcomes in Civics Learning Using the Question-and-Answer Method. I Wayan Ardianta (2014).	Students show low understanding of PKn Education concepts due to teachers' reliance on lecture-based methods.	There are 17 students in grade V of SDN 3 Kasimber, 8 male students and 9 female students.	The use of question-and-answer methods improves student learning outcomes
4	Use of Question-and-	Can the use of question-	There are 20 students in grade	Question-and-answer

	Answer Method to Improve Student Learning Outcomes in Civics Learning. Sri Mahdalena (2014).	and-answer methods improve student learning outcomes in civics education in grade V of elementary school?	V of elementary school, consisting of 12 male students and 8 female students.	methods enhance student learning outcomes in Civic Education.
5	Dellia Mila Shopia (2024) Improving Student Learning Outcomes in Civics Subjects Through Question-and-Answer Methods	Low student learning outcomes by continuously using the lecture method without any question-and-answer method	Students of class II of Pamulang Timur 02 Elementary School. There are 34 students.	The use of question-and-answer methods can improve student learning outcomes in civics learning.
6	Improving Civics Learning Outcomes Through the Use of Question-and-Answer Methods in Class II Students. Ageng Martadi (2022).	PKn learning remains weak; students struggle with material and textbook-based methods.	Grade II students at SD Negeri Banyoneng Dajah 2, totaling 14 students.	Question-and-answer method improves learning.
7	Improving Students' Understanding and Mastery of Learning Materials by Using Appropriate Media in Civics Learning with Discussion and Q&A Methods. Hafsa, (2018).	Limited resources and weak strategies hinder students' understanding of Civic Education.	Class IV students of Mataram, Mataram District, students consist of 21 students	Improving student learning outcomes in civics learning with the question-and-answer method can improve student learning outcomes in civics learning.
8	Improving Civics Learning Outcomes Through Question-and-Answer Methods in Grade V Students of Tanjungpura Elementary School, Pontianak Roestiyah (2014)	Poor lesson planning leads to student boredom, disengagement, and classroom disruption.	Fifth grade students at Tanjungpura Elementary School Pontianak. There are 20 students consisting of 12 male students and 8 female students.	The application of the question-and-answer method in civics learning can improve learning outcomes for grade V students at Tanjungpura Elementary School, Pontianak
9	Improving Civics Learning Outcomes through Question-and-Answer Method. Subana (2014)	Lack of ability to capture SK and KD keywords in selecting SK and KD so far	Class IV of State Elementary School 112136 Rantau Prapat, North Rantau District, Labuhan Batu Regency	The application of the question-and-answer method can improve student learning outcomes
10	Improving Student Learning Outcomes in Civics Subjects in Class IV SDN 1 Bale. Ian Trianti (2014)	Lack of student participation in the learning process, because teachers do not master the material being taught, a learning method is needed that can make students understand <u>why they are doing it.</u>	The fourth-grade students of SDN 1 Bale consist of 20 students, consisting of 9 boys and 11 girls.	Using the question-and-answer method in Civics subjects has proven to be quite effective and efficient in improving student learning outcomes.

All journals use the Classroom Action Research (PTK) method. Based on the results of 10 journals, the application of the question-and-answer method improves learning outcomes in the Civics subject for elementary school students.

Analysis Based on Classroom Action Research (CAR) Method

In the analysis of research journals, 10 journals were found using the Classroom Action Research (CAR) method based on the results of the analysis of the 10, it was found that the question-and-answer method can improve students' abilities in Civics subjects in Elementary Schools. This is proven by the existence of various improvements in each cycle in each research journal. The following are the research results based on the percentage values obtained from each research journal which

are described in the Table 2.

Based on the research methodology used, the application of the question-and-answer method in the table above from the journals that have been analyzed, there are 8 journals that use PTK (Classroom Action Research) and 2 journals that carry out three cycles, the rest carry out two cycles. This identifies the use of the Q&A method through the PTK method can improve student learning outcomes in civic education learning. From the average value of 10 journals, there was an increase of 44.07%, the effectiveness of the question-and-answer method on learning outcomes in the subject of Civics for Elementary School Students.

Table 2. Percentage values obtained from each research journal

Research	Subject	KKM	Completion (%)				Improve
			Pra Cycle	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	
Erminawaty (2016)	31 Student of Grade IV	70	25,81%	41,94%	87,10%	-	61,29%
(Suwela, 2018)	19 Student of Grade IV	65	-	31,58%	84,21%	100%	68,42%
I Wayan Ardianta (2014)	17 Student of Grade V	-	-	64,70%	94,12%	-	29,42%
Ian Trianti (2014)	20 Student of Grade IV	-	-	56%	80%	-	24%
Dellia Mila Shopia (2024)	34 Student of Grade II	-	23%	55%	77%	-	54%
Ageng Martadi (2022)	14 Student of Grade II	-	7,14%	64,29%	85,71	-	78,57%
Hafsah (2016)	21 Student of Grade IV	-	-	62%	81%	100%	38%
Roestiyah (2014)	12 Student of Grade V	-	-	59,50%	75,50%	-	16%
Subana (2014)	11 Student of Grade IV	-	-	30%	85%	-	55%
Sri Mahdalena (2014)	20 Student of Grade kelas V	70	-	59,50%	75,50%	-	16%
Improvement							44,07%

There are pre-cycles, cycles 1, cycles 2 that researcher Erminawaty (2016) with subjects consisting of fourth grade students of SDN Negeri 112136 Rantaurapat. The results are based on each student's increase in PKn learning which shows good results. This can be seen from the increase in the percentage of student completion of PKn learning materials. In PKn learning materials, Erminawaty (2016) obtained a percentage of learning completion in the pre-cycle of 25.81%, in the first cycle 41.94%, and in the second cycle 87.10%. Dellia Mila Shopia's research (2024) with subjects of second grade students of SDN Pamulang Timur 02, the results are based on each student's increase in PKn learning which shows good results (Shopia et al., 2024). This can be seen from the increase in the percentage of learning completion in the pre-cycle of 23%, in the first cycle 55%, and in the second cycle 77%. The results are based on what is obtained, each student has an increase in civics learning which shows good results. This can be seen from the increase in the percentage of completion of civics learning materials. (Hanif, 2019) obtained a pre-cycle percentage of 7.14%, in the first cycle 64.29%, and the second cycle 85.71%.

By Year of Publication

If you look at the table, there is a graph that discusses the year of the author's search on Google Scholar. The author determines the search for the last 10 years of the journal analysed, which shows a diagram that goes up and down every year. In the year (2014) there were 4 journal search results, the search results for the year (2015) were 0, the search results for the year (2016) were 2, the search results for the year (2017) were 0, the search results for the year (2018) were 1, the search results for the year (2019) were 0, the search results for the year (2020-2021) were 0, the search results for the year (2022) were 1, and the search results for the year (2023) were 0, the search results for the year (2024) were 0. The journal analysed is Classroom Action Research. In relevant research according to the journal inclusion, the search for the last 10 years of journals is described in the graph Figure 2.




Figure 2. Graph Showing Relevant Research Based on Journal Inclusion Criteria From the Past 10 Years

After analysing various journal articles on the question-and-answer method, researchers recommend implementing this method in both lower and upper grades in elementary schools. The recommendations include the following steps: expressing questions clearly and concisely, determining topics, focusing in the form of questions, changing turns to ask questions, providing thinking time, providing guidance. Use of the question-and-answer method. The use of the Q&A method is expected to help the learning process be more effective and optimal. The following are the steps in the Q&A method a) Determining topics and materials The teacher chooses and compiles relevant learning topics to be discussed b) Preparing question objectives The teacher designs clear, focused questions that support student understanding c) Paying attention to the form of questions and question techniques The teacher ensures that the teacher's questions delivered are able to provoke students' deep thinking d) Creating a calm atmosphere The teacher maintains a

conducive classroom atmosphere and is free from pressure so that students are comfortable e) Managing opportunities to ask questions The teacher gives students a fair turn to ask questions, ensuring that everyone has the opportunity f) Providing time to think The teacher is not in a hurry and gives students enough time to respond to questions.

Learning is an activity that has a purpose and includes cognitive, affective, and psychomotor components that change behavior as a result of experience. Because students are directly involved in the learning experience becomes more meaningful, (Sunarso, 2019) Internal factors that include physiological and psychological factors; and external factors that include social and national environmental factors, as well as the role of students, teachers and learning models. However, according to Doni Juni Priansa, learning outcomes are something that students achieve or obtain through effort or thought expressed in the form of mastery, knowledge, and basic skills that exist in various aspects of life, thus showing changes in behavior (Hamzah et al., 2022). The purpose of learning is to master the material given by the teacher to students. The indicator of achieving this goal is that student learning outcomes will be better and better if there is active interaction between teachers and students during the learning process. A good response from students will also ensure that good learning comes from their own desires. Students must enjoy learning (Rinjani et al., 2023). One of them is the way the teacher teaches. Learning managed by teachers must be non-teacher-centred learning and actively involve students in the learning process, so that learning becomes more meaningful (Handayani, 2022).

One element of education that greatly influences the success of teachers in achieving educational goals is the learning method. Thus, the learning method functions as a tool or way to achieve educational goals (Nasution et al., 2023).. The Q&A method of teaching where the teacher delivers material through questions answered by students. Interaction occurs through statements from teachers and students, but can also occur from teacher to student (Syaharani et al., 2024). The Q&A method allows students to actively participate in the learning process. This makes learning not only teacher-centred, but also allows students to give their opinions on the lesson topics that have been discussed by the teacher (Napitupulu & Susanti, 2023). The Q&A method can enable active interaction between teachers and students. Teachers can use this method to ask students about how far they understand the lesson material, and students can also ask questions to the teacher to find out the problems and difficulties faced by students during the learning process. The Q&A method also has the potential to develop thinking power, including memory power, as well as students' courage and skills in answering and expressing opinions. This is very important (Kamsul, 2024).

Learning habits or learning methods that influence learning include, among others, repeating lesson materials, reading and taking notes, concentrating, doing assignments, how to manage study time. The learning process can be said to be

successful if changes in a person are in accordance with their goals, namely, gaining knowledge from experience and practice. When a person experiences changes in themselves, it cannot be said to be successful in the learning process. (N. B. Damanik et al., 2024). This civics lesson is very important for elementary school students. However, Indonesia's national and moral awareness is very low and especially occurs in school children with a civics curriculum. (Kusliyanti et al., 2024). Learning is an activity that has a purpose and includes cognitive, affective, and psychomotor components that change behavior as a result of experience. Because students are directly involved in the experience, learning becomes more meaningful in the learning process. Learning activities cannot be separated from the main role of the teacher in the learning process, namely creating a learning environment. In the learning process, teachers try to activate learning activities through various activities carried out by students. Several factors influence the success of learning, such as the process that is directly involved in the learning process and the optimal level of interaction between teachers and students. Student achievement in subject matter can be indicated by the level of student mastery of the material and the grades they obtain (Rostiawati, 2021).

D. Conclusion

The analysis of 10 journal articles reveals that the Q&A method improved learning outcomes by an average of 44.07%, particularly in grades IV and V, but also demonstrated effectiveness in lower grades such as grade II. Both cognitive and affective indicators of learning outcomes were positively impacted during Civics (PKn) instruction in elementary school. For Teachers: The Q&A method can be used to enhance student engagement and active participation, especially in Civic Education. It encourages dialogue, critical thinking, and deeper understanding among students. For Policymakers and Curriculum Developers: There is a strong rationale to promote training programs and professional development for elementary teachers focused on interactive teaching strategies, including the Q&A method, to improve learning outcomes more broadly. Further studies should explore the application of the Q&A method in multilingual and multicultural classroom settings, and consider experimental or quasi-experimental designs to more precisely measure its effectiveness. Expanding the analysis to other subjects beyond Civics would also be beneficial for generalizing its impact.

E. Acknowledgement

We thank to all friends from Universitas Islam 45 Bekasi who support us in this project.

References

- Adiputra, D. K., Karyaningsih, D., Ruiyat, S. A., Heryadi, Y., Sampurna, I., & Solihatulmillah, E. (2021). Development of Vocational Learning Video Based on Local account in PKN Learning in Elementary School. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1764(1), 6–10. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1764/1/012086>
- Anisah, S., & Marzuki. (2019). *Citizenship Education as Value Education and the Nation's Strengthening of Character for Citizens*. 323(ICoSSCE 2018), 186–193. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icossce-icsmc-18.2019.36>
- Bayudi, A. (2020). Penggunaan Media Gambar dalam Proses Pembelajaran dapat Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa Sekolah Dasar. *SHEs: Conference Series*, 3(4), 1368–1372.
- Bhowmik, T., Majumdar, S., Choudhury, A., Banerjee, A., & Roy, B. (2023). *Importance of Internal and External Psychological Factors in Digital Learning BT - Digital Learning based Education: Transcending Physical Barriers* (A. Choudhury, A. Biswas, & S. Chakraborti (eds.); pp. 119–132). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-8967-4_8
- Damanik, D. R., Nasution, A. G. J., Sihombing, Z. A., Fathoni, M., Fatiha, T., & Situmorang, F. (2023). Teori Belajar Pada Pembelajaran Pkn Di Sekolah Dasar. *CENDEKIA: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial, Bahasa Dan Pendidikan*, 3(1), 198–208.
- Damanik, N. B., Sijabat, O. P., & Sirait, E. (2024). *Pengaruh Cara Belajar terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa pada Mata Pelajaran Pkn di Kelas IV SD Swasta HKBP*. 4(2), 95–100.
- Erminawaty. (2016). Efforts to Improve Civics Learning Outcomes on the Main Material of the Central Government System Using Discussion and Question and Answer Methods for Class IV of SD Negeri 112136 Rantauprapat, Rantau Utara District, Labuhanbatu Regency, 2015/2016 Academic Year. *Erminawaty*, 3(1), 6–10.
- Gani, A. (2021). Implementation of Question and Answer Method to Improve Students' Understanding of Ski Learning for Grade VI Elementary Madrasah Students. *Jurnal Pendidikan Guru*, 3(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.47783/jurpendigu.v3i1.285>
- Hafsah, H. (2018). Improving Students' Understanding and Mastery of Learning Materials by Using Appropriate Media in Civics Learning with Discussion and Question and Answer Methods in Class IV of SDN 26 Mataram, Mataram District, 2013/2014 Academic Year. *CIVICUS : Pendidikan-Penelitian-Pengabdian Pendidikan Pancasila Dan Kewarganegaraan*, 4(2), 115. <https://doi.org/10.31764/civicus.v4i2.348>
- Hamzah, H., Sukardi, S., & Surmilasari, N. (2022). Analysis of Student Learning Outcomes in Civics Learning on Rights and Obligations Material for Class V of SD Negeri 35 Palembang. *ANTHOR: Education and Learning Journal*, 1(3), 157–161. <https://doi.org/10.31004/anthor.v1i3.26>
- Handayani, D. (2022). Improving Student Motivation and Learning Outcomes Through Critical Question and Answer Methods on Dynamic Electricity

- Material. *Jurnal Penelitian Sains Dan Pendidikan (JPSP)*, 2(1), 98–105.
<https://doi.org/10.23971/jpsp.v2i1.3985>
- Hang, L. T., & Van, V. H. (2020). Building strong teaching and learning strategies through teaching innovations and learners' creativity: A study of vietnam universities. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(3), 498–510.
<https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.61.2020.83.498.510>
- Hanif, M. (2019). The Influence of Digital Literacy and Online Learning on Social Studies Learning Outcomes of Grade V Students of SDN 3 Karangan. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPS*, 4(2), 53–60.
- Hasanah. (2022). Question and Answer Method in Learning and Teaching. *Univeritas Lambung Mangkurat*, 1–5.
- Hendrik, M. (2024). Character Education Learning Strategies in Developing Students' Literacy Skills. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences*, 2(1), 43–48. <https://doi.org/10.37329/ijms.v2i1.2976>
- Hermansyah, Y., Nurishlah, L., & Syahidah, R. N. (2021). The Character Of Social Care In Citizenship Education (Pkn) Learning In Elementary Schools. *International Conference on Health Science, Green Economics, Educational Review and Technology*, 3(Dec 31, 2021), 481–490.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.54443/ihert.v3i1.318>
- Immanuella, V., Tantu, Y. R. P., & Ani, Y. (2023). Implementation of Question and Answer Method in Accommodating Student Learning Activity. *Edukatif: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 5(4), 1784–1789. <https://doi.org/10.31004/edukatif.v5i4.4224>
- Kamsul, G. (2024). *The Influence of Jigsaw and Question and Answer Methods on the Learning Outcomes of Grade 6 Students of Elementary School 188 Pekanbaru*. 8(6), 1165–1170.
- Knowles, R. T. (2018). Teaching Who You Are: Connecting Teachers' Civic Education Ideology to Instructional Strategies. *Theory & Research in Social Education*, 46(1), 68–109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00933104.2017.1356776>
- Kusliyanti, L., Rofida, G. E., Julianti, F. A., Nurhasanah, N., & Haryati, M. S. (2024). Implementation of Civics Learning as Character Formation for Elementary School Students. *Social, Humanities, and Educational Studies (SHES): Conference Series*, 7(3), 7362–7368. <https://doi.org/10.20961/shes.v7i3.91798>
- Mahdalena, S., Uliyanti, E., & Sabri, T. (2014). The Use of Question and Answer Methods to Improve Student Learning Outcomes in Civics Learning in Grade V. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Khatulistiwa*, 3(3), 3.
- Muthmainnah, Ibna Seraj, P. M., & Oteir, I. (2022). Playing with AI to Investigate Human-Computer Interaction Technology and Improving Critical Thinking Skills to Pursue 21stCentury Age. *Education Research International*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6468995>
- Napitupulu, E. H., & Susanti, A. E. (2023). Striving for Student Learning Activity by Implementing Question and Answer Methods. *KAIROS: Jurnal Ilmiah*, Vol. 3(No. 2), 32–46.
- Nasution, A. J., Ritonga, A. P., Sagala, D. I. S., & Hasanah, S. (2023). Civics Learning Methods of Al-Washliyah Elementary School 11 Amplas. *EduInovasi: Journal of*

- Basic Educational Studies*, 3(1), 140–150. <https://doi.org/10.47467/edui.v3i1.3155>
- Nguyen, T., Netto, C. L. M., Wilkins, J. F., Bröker, P., Vargas, E. E., Sealfon, C. D., Puthipiroj, P., Li, K. S., Bowler, J. E., Hinson, H. R., Pujar, M., & Stein, G. M. (2021). Insights Into Students' Experiences and Perceptions of Remote Learning Methods: From the COVID-19 Pandemic to Best Practice for the Future. *Frontiers in Education*, 6(April), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.647986>
- Putri, N. M. W. Y., & Riastini, P. N. (2023). Elementary School Teacher Problems in Facing Independent Curriculum as Seen from Driving Schools. *Jurnal Ilmiah Sekolah Dasar*, 7(4), 696–704.
- Reichert, F., & and Print, M. (2018). Civic participation of high school students: the effect of civic learning in school. *Educational Review*, 70(3), 318–341. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2017.1316239>
- Rinjani, B. R., Dasar, S., Puniawati, D., & Mulyono, A. (2023). Efforts to Improve Student Activity and Learning Outcomes Using Cooperative Methods. *JR-PGSD: Jurnal Rinjani Pendidikan Guru* 1(3), 93–97.
- Rokhmah, S. (2022). Improving Student Learning Outcomes Through Activity-Based Interactive Learning Models in Civics Subjects for Grade VI of Pamarican 1 Elementary School. *EL-Muhbib: Jurnal Pemikiran Dan Penelitian Pendidikan Dasar*, 6(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.52266/el-muhbib.v6i1.759>
- Rone, N. A., Amor, N., Guao, A., Jariol, M. S., Acedillo, N. B., Balinton, K. R., & Francisco, J. O. (2023). Students' Lack of Interest, Motivation in Learning, and Classroom Participation: How to Motivate Them? *Psych Educ*, 7(March), 585. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7749977>
- Rostiawati, R. (2021). The Use of Discussion and Question and Answer Methods in Improving the Understanding of Grade V Elementary School Students About Central Government Organizations (Active, Innovative, Creative, Effective and Enjoyable Grade V Students' Civics PTK Semester 1 at SDN Sirnaluyu in 2017/2018). *SpoRTIVE*, 6(1), 164–173.
- Saragih, N. R., Magarita, M., & Saragih, E. E. (2022). The Effectiveness of Question and Answer Method in Improving Student Learning Outcomes in Christian Religious Education Subjects. *Jurnal Pendidikan Religius*, 4(1), 43–56.
- Serin, H. (2018). A Comparison of Teacher-Centered and Student-Centered Approaches in Educational Settings. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.23918/ijsses.v5i1p164>
- Setiawati, I., Mahfuroh, L., & Azha, N. F. (2024). The Importance of Civics Learning in Elementary Schools to Foster Democratic Awareness from an Early Age. *Jurnal Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar*, 1(3), 10. <https://doi.org/10.47134/pgsd.v1i3.472>
- Shopia, D. M., Sholehudin, Naimi, N., & Romlah, S. (2024). Efforts to Improve Student Learning in Civic Education Lessons on the Pancasila Symbol Material through Question and Answer Methods Using Image Media. *Jurnal UMJ*, 2, 730–737.
- Subkhi Mahmasani. (2020). *View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk*. 274–282.

- Sunarso, S. (2019). Improving Civics Learning Outcomes on the Formulation of Pancasila with Smart Bridges for Grade VI Students of Nguwet State Elementary School. *Paedagogie*, 14(2), 37–42. <https://doi.org/10.31603/paedagogie.v14i2.2779>
- Suwela, I. G. M. (2018). The Effectiveness of Implementing the Question and Answer Method with a Variety of Learning Media on Improving Student Learning Outcomes in PKN Subjects Specifically in Pancasila Ideology Material. *Journal of Education Action Research*, 2(4), 409. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jear.v2i4.16345>
- Syahrani, E. R., Cahyaningrum, S. N., & Putri, N. N. E. (2024). Literature Review: Efektivitas Metode Pembelajaran Tanya Jawab dalam Meningkatkan Aktivitas Belajar Siswa pada Kurikulum Merdeka. *Jurnal Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar*, 1(3), 12. <https://doi.org/10.47134/pgsd.v1i3.296>
- Triandini, E., Jayanatha, S., Indrawan, A., Putra, G. W., & Iswara, B. (2019). *Systematic Literature Review Method for Identifying Platforms and Methods for Information System Development in Indonesia*. 1(2).
- Ulfa, M., Oktaviany, V., & Anggita, P. A. (2023). *Literacy-Based Question and Answer Method to Improve Questioning Ability*. 68–74. <https://doi.org/10.37640/ice.01.187>



**KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI, SAINS,
DAN TEKNOLOGI
DIREKTORAT JENDERAL RISET DAN PENGEMBANGAN**

Jalan Jenderal Sudirman, Senayan, Jakarta 10270
Telepon (021) 57946104, Pusat Panggilan ULT DIKTI 126
Laman <https://kemdiktisaintek.go.id>

Nomor : 0173/C3/DT.05.00/2025

21 Maret 2025

Lampiran : 1 (satu) berkas

Hal : Pemberitahuan Hasil Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah Periode I Tahun 2025

Yth.

1. Pimpinan Perguruan Tinggi
 2. Koordinator LLDikti I s.d. XVI
 3. Ketua Himpunan Profesi
 4. Pengelola Jurnal Ilmiah
- di seluruh Indonesia

Sehubungan dengan telah selesainya penilaian usulan akreditasi jurnal tahun 2024 dan berdasarkan Berita Acara Penetapan Hasil Akreditasi Jurnal Periode I Tahun 2025, telah diterbitkan Surat Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset, dan Teknologi Nomor 10/C/C3/DT.05.00/2025 tanggal 21 Maret 2025 tentang Peringkat Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah Periode I Tahun 2025, dengan hormat bersama ini kami sampaikan hasil akreditasi sebagaimana terlampir dan beberapa ketentuan sebagai berikut:

1. Bagi usulan akreditasi baru maka sertifikat akreditasi akan diterbitkan dan diberikan kepada pengelola jurnal dengan masa berlaku akreditasi dimulai dari volume dan nomor yang dinilai baik.
2. Bagi usulan akreditasi ulang yang hasil akreditasi peringkatnya tetap dan naik peringkat maka sertifikat akreditasi akan diterbitkan dan diberikan kepada pengelola jurnal dengan masa berlaku akreditasi dimulai dari volume dan nomor yang diajukan dan dinilai.
3. Bagi jurnal yang sudah terakreditasi dan namanya tercantum dalam SK sebelumnya serta belum memiliki sertifikat dapat meminta sertifikat terdahulu.
4. Penerbitan sertifikat dilakukan secara bertahap setelah pengumuman ini dan dilakukan pemutakhiran data di laman: <http://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/journals> , sertifikat dapat diunduh langsung secara bertahap melalui akun pengusul di laman: <http://arjuna.kemdikbud.go.id/> .
5. Bagi jurnal yang tercantum dalam Surat Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Riset dan Pengembangan Nomor 10/C/C3/DT.05.00/2025, tanggal 21 Maret 2025 dapat mengajukan akreditasi ulang setelah menerbitkan 4 nomor terbaru dari nomor terakhir yang diajukan pada saat akreditasi terakhir melalui laman <http://arjuna.kemdikbud.go.id>.
6. Bagi jurnal yang telah terakreditasi wajib menjaga mutu artikel ilmiah yang direviu oleh mitra bestari dan tata kelola jurnal ilmiah, apabila ditemukan penurunan mutu artikel ilmiah dan tata kelola jurnal ilmiah maka peringkat akreditasi dapat diturunkan atau dicabut.

Demikian pemberitahuan ini disampaikan, atas perhatian dan kerja sama yang baik, kami ucapkan terima kasih.

Direktur Penelitian dan Pengabdian
kepada Masyarakat,

TTD

I Ketut Adnyana
NIP 196805151994031004 

Tembusan:

1. Direktur Jenderal Riset dan Pengembangan
2. Kepala Sub Bagian Tata Usaha Direktorat Penelitian dan Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat



**KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI, SAINS,
DAN TEKNOLOGI
DIREKTORAT JENDERAL RISET DAN PENGEMBANGAN**

Jalan Jenderal Sudirman, Senayan, Jakarta 10270
Telepon (021) 57946104, Pusat Panggilan ULT DIKTI 126
Laman <https://kemdiktisaintek.go.id>

**KEPUTUSAN DIREKTUR JENDERAL RISET DAN PENGEMBANGAN
KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI, SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI
REPUBLIK INDONESIA**

NOMOR 10 /C/C3/DT.05.00/2025

TENTANG

PERINGKAT AKREDITASI JURNAL ILMIAH PERIODE 1 TAHUN 2025

DIREKTUR JENDERAL RISET DAN PENGEMBANGAN,

- Menimbang :**
- a. bahwa untuk melaksanakan ketentuan Pasal 6 ayat (5) Peraturan Menteri Riset, Teknologi dan Pendidikan Tinggi Nomor 9 Tahun 2018 tentang Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah dan berdasarkan Berita Acara Penetapan Hasil Akreditasi Jurnal Periode 1 Tahun 2025 pada tanggal 24 Februari 2025, perlu menetapkan peringkat akreditasi jurnal ilmiah Periode 1 Tahun 2025;
 - b. bahwa berdasarkan pertimbangan sebagaimana dimaksud dalam huruf a, perlu menetapkan Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Riset dan Pengembangan tentang Peringkat Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah Periode 1 Tahun 2024;
- Mengingat :**
- 1. Undang-Undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2012 tentang Pendidikan Tinggi (Lembaran Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 2012 Nomor 158, Tambahan Lembaran Negara Republik Indonesia Nomor 5336);
 - 2. Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 4 Tahun 2014 tentang Penyelenggaraan Pendidikan Tinggi dan Pengelolaan Perguruan Tinggi (Lembaran Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 2014 Nomor 16, Tambahan Lembaran Negara Republik Indonesia Nomor 5500);

3. Peraturan Presiden Nomor 189 Tahun 2024 tentang Kementerian Pendidikan Tinggi, Sains dan Teknologi (Lembaran Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 2024 Nomor 386);
4. Peraturan Menteri Riset, Teknologi, dan Pendidikan Tinggi Nomor 9 Tahun 2018 tentang Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah (Berita Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 2018 Nomor 428);
5. Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Tinggi, Sains, dan Teknologi Nomor 1 Tahun 2024 tentang Organisasi dan Tata Kerja Kementerian Pendidikan Tinggi, Sains, dan Teknologi (Berita Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 2024 Nomor 1051);
6. Keputusan Kuasa Pengguna Anggaran Direktorat Riset, Teknologi Dan Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, Dan Teknologi Republik Indonesia Nomor 1096/E5/DT.05.00/2024 tentang Tim Asesor Manajemen Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah Periode 4 Tahun 2024;
7. Keputusan Kuasa Pengguna Anggaran Direktorat Riset, Teknologi Dan Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, Dan Teknologi Republik Indonesia Nomor 1097/E5/DT.05.00/2024 tentang Tim Asesor Substansi Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah Periode 4 Tahun 2024;
8. Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset dan Teknologi Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset dan Teknologi Nomor 134/E/KPT/2021 tentang Pedoman Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah;

MEMUTUSKAN:

- Menetapkan :** **KEPUTUSAN DIREKTUR JENDERAL RISET DAN PENGEMBANGAN TENTANG PERINGKAT AKREDITASI JURNAL ILMIAH PERIODE 1 TAHUN 2025.**
- KESATU :** Menetapkan peringkat akreditasi jurnal ilmiah Periode 1 Tahun 2025 sebagaimana tercantum dalam Lampiran yang merupakan bagian yang tidak terpisahkan dari Keputusan Direktur Jenderal ini.
- KEDUA :** Peringkat akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah sebagaimana dimaksud dalam Diktum KESATU berlaku selama 5 (lima) tahun mulai Volume, Nomor dan Tahun Terbitan sampai Volume, Nomor dan Tahun terbitan sesuai Lampiran Keputusan Direktur Jenderal ini.
- KETIGA :** Jurnal Ilmiah sebagaimana dimaksud dalam Diktum KESATU dapat mengajukan kembali kenaikan peringkat akreditasi setelah menerbitkan paling sedikit 4 (empat) nomor penerbitan.
- KEEMPAT :** Jurnal ilmiah yang telah memiliki peringkat akreditasi sebagaimana dimaksud dalam Diktum KESATU wajib:
- a. mencantumkan masa berlaku akreditasi, dengan menuliskan tanggal penetapan dan tanggal akhir masa berlaku akreditasi; dan
 - b. menampilkan sertifikat akreditasi.
- KELIMA :** Setelah ditetapkannya Keputusan Direktur Jenderal ini, maka Keputusan Direktur Jenderal Riset dan Pengembangan tentang Peringkat Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah yang telah terbit sebelum keputusan ini sudah tidak berlaku.
- KEENAM :** Apabila setelah ditetapkannya Keputusan Direktur Jenderal ini ditemukan ketidaksesuaian antara jurnal ilmiah sebagaimana Diktum KESATU dengan Pedoman Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah, peringkat akreditasi jurnal ilmiah dapat diturunkan atau dicabut.

KETUJUH : Keputusan Direktur Jenderal ini mulai berlaku pada tanggal ditetapkan.

Ditetapkan di Jakarta
pada tanggal 21 Maret 2025

**DIREKTUR JENDERAL RISET DAN
PENGEMBANGAN,**




MOHAMMAD FAUZAN ADZIMAN



Author Subjects Affiliations Sources FAQ WCU Registration Login

Get More with SINTA Insight

Go to Insight



0.768116

Impact

1789

Google Citations

Sinta 3

Current Accreditation

Google Scholar

Garuda




Website



Editor URL

History Accreditation



Journal By Google Scholar

Citation

1789

All

Since 2020

1787



UNIVERSITAS ISLAM 45
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN

Jl. Cut Meutia no.83 Bekasi 17113

Telp : (021) 8820383, 8801027, 8802015, 8808851 Ext. 146-147 Fax : (021) 880192

SURAT KEPUTUSAN DEKAN
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN
NOMOR : L. 0172/UNISMA.FKIP/KD/VI/2025

TENTANG
PENETAPAN PEMBIMBING PENULISAN SKRIPSI
DEKAN FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN
UNIVERSITAS ISLAM 45

- Menimbang** : 1. Bahwa penyelesaian akhir program mahasiswa jenjang pendidikan S1 untuk Jurusan dan Program Studi di Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan dilakukan penulisan skripsi.
2. Bahwa untuk kelancaran penulisan, perlu untuk menetapkan pembimbing penulisan skripsi.
- Mengingat** : 1. Undang-undang Nornor 20 Tahun 2003 Tentang Sistem Pendidikan Nasional.
2. Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 60 Tahun 1999 tentang Pendidikan Tinggi.
3. Keputusan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 066/V/1994, tentang Pedoman Penyusunan Kurikulum Pendidikan Tinggi dan penilaian hasil belajar.
4. Kurikulum Jurusan dan Program Studi di Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan
- Memperhatikan** : 1. Rapat Koordinasi Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan.
2. Rekomendasi Seminar Proposal Ketua Program Studi di Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan.

M E M U T U S K A N

- Menetapkan** : Mengangkat Saudara : **Arrahim, M.Pd**
Deden Dicky Dermawan, M.Pd
- Pertama** : Sebagai Dosen Pembimbing Skripsi :
Program Studi : Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar
Nama Mahasiswa : Indriyati Sulistio
NPM : 41182109210076
Judul Penelitian Skripsi : *Analyzing the Application of the Question and Answer Method in Improving Learning Outcomes in Elementary PKn Education*
- Kedua** : Surat Keputusan ini berlaku sejak ditetapkan mulai dari semester **Genap T.A 2024/2025 sampai dengan semester Ganjil T.A 2025/2026**
- Ketiga** : Mahasiswa yang tidak dapat menyelesaikan skripsi sampai dengan batas akhir tanggal tersebut di atas, maka keputusan ini tidak berlaku lagi dan biaya bimbingan dinyatakan habis terpakai.
- Keempat** : Mahasiswa yang akan melanjutkan skripsi diharuskan membayar bimbingan sebesar ketentuan yang berlaku.
- Kelima** : Apabila terdapat kekeliruan dalam penetapan ini akan diadakan perbaikan sebagaimana mestinya.

Ditetapkan : Bekasi
Tanggal, 24 Juni 2025

Yudi Budianti, M.Pd
Dekan

Tembusan :

1. Direktur DAPA UNISMA Bekasi
2. Dosen Pembimbing
3. Arsip



KARTU BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI
UNIVERSITAS ISLAM "45" BEKASI

Nama Mahasiswa : INDRIYATI SULISTIO
NPM : 41182109210076
Program Studi : Pendidikan Sekolah Dasar (PGSD)
Judul : Analyzing The Application Of The Question
And Answer Method In Improving Learning
Outcomes In Elementary Pkn Education
Pembimbing : 1. Arrohm, M.Pd
2. Deden Dicky Dermawan, M.Pd

Frekwensi Bimbingan

NO	TGL	KOMENTAR	PARAF	NO	TGL	KOMENTAR	PARAF
1.	20/04 ²⁵	Pembahasan topik dan latar belakang masalah	↑	1.	19/5 ²⁵	Perbaiki rumusan masalah	↑
2.	29/04 ²⁵	Judul Perbaiki & Rumusan masalah disusun dengan lebih terfokus	↑	2.	26/5 ²⁵	menyusun dan memperbaiki Pendahuluan terfokus	↑
3.	1/05 ²⁵	menyusun & menyempurnakan Pendahuluan dibenarkan masukan terkait latar belakang	↑	3.	27/5 ²⁵	Pembahasan awal bagian teori sesuai dgn variabel	↑
4.	18/05 ²⁵	masukkan terkait latar belakang & Tujuan Penelitian pembahasan awal bagian teori.	↑	4.	28/5 ²⁵	mencari Jurnal Sesuai dengan variabel x dan variabel y	↑
5.	19/05 ²⁵	Penyusunan kerangka teori dan metodologi	↑	5.	30/5 ²⁵	Perbaiki definisi operasional	↑
6.	21/05 ²⁵	Acc Penelitian	↑	6.	2/6 ²⁵	Inklusi dan Ekskusi lebih dirincikan lagi	↑
7.	22/05 ²⁵	Revisi Penyusunan hasil dan pembahasan	↑	7.	3/6 ²⁵	Perbaiki tahapan Pengolahan data Jurnal	↑


Frekwensi Bimbingan

NO	TGL.	KOMENTAR	PARAF	NO	TGL.	KOMENTAR	PARAF
8.	2/6 ²⁵	Revisi pembahasan dan kesimpulan	↑	8.	4/6 ²⁵	Analisis Jurnal berdasarkan metode penelitian lebih jelas Pake tabel	↑
9.	5/6 ²⁵	Penyesuaian artikel terhadap Jurnal tujuan melalui Pendahuluan Jurnal	↑	9.	7/6 ²⁵	Buat berdasarkan penerapan dikelas.	↑
10.	10/6 ²⁵	Cek Plagiasme dan Revisi nomor dari Pembimbing	↑	10.	9/6 ²⁵	Perbaiki kesimpulan.	↑
11.	24/6 ²⁵	Finalisasi Artikel dan Proses teknis Submit ke Jurnal Sinta 3	↑	11.	10/6 ²⁵	Buat Rekomendasi Perbaiki daftar pustaka	↑
12.	07/7 ²⁵	ACC Sidang		12.	07/7 ²⁵	ACC sidang	



Pembimbing II

Bekasi, 24 Juli 2025



Pembimbing II

SURAT PERNYATAAN PENELITIAN

Yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : Indriyati Sulistio
NPM : 41182109210076
Program Studi : Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar (PGSD)
Fakultas : Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan (FKIP)
Jenis Penelitian : *Systematic Literature Review (SLR)*
Judul : *Analyzing The Application Of The Question And Answer Method
In Improving Learning Outcomes In Elementary PKn Education*

Dengan ini menyatakan bahwa saya telah melakukan penelitian *Systematic Literature Review (SLR)* yang berjudul :

*Analyzing The Application Of The Question And Answer Method In Improving
Learning Outcomes In Elementary PKn Education.*

Demikian surat pernyataan penelitian ini dibuat untuk dapat digunakan sesuai dengan keperluan.

Bekasi, 21 Mei 2025

Mengetahui,

Ketua Program Studi PGSD



(Arrahim, M.Pd.)

Peneliti



(Indriyati Sulistio)

DAFTAR RIWAYAT HIDUP



Indriyati Sulistio lahir pada tanggal 10 Januari 2002 di Jakarta. Putri dari pasangan Bapak Ari Wibowo Sulistyo dan Ibu Eliyati merupakan anak Ke-1 dari 2 bersaudara. Penulis menempuh pendidikan dasar di SDN Bantargebang I, melanjutkan pendidikan menengahnya di SMPN 36 Bekasi, lalu melanjutkan pendidikan di SMK Daya Utama, hingga akhirnya melanjutkan pendidikan di Universitas Islam 45 Bekasi pada tahun 2021 sebagai mahasiswa program studi Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar, Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan, Program Strata Satu (S1) sampai dengan artikel ilmiah ini ditulis.

Selama masa perkuliahan, penulis aktif mengikuti kegiatan akademik dan menyelesaikan tugas-tugas perkuliahan dengan baik. Meskipun tidak terlibat dalam organisasi internal maupun eksternal kampus, penulis tetap berusaha maksimal dalam pengembangan diri secara akademis. Disetiap proses penulis selalu ada doa dan support di dalamnya maka dari itu penulis mengucapkan terima kasih kepada Allah SWT yang telah memberikan nikmat dan karunia-Nya sehingga penulis dapat menyelesaikan artikel ilmiah dengan baik. Kepada Bapak Dosen Pembimbing artikel ilmiah yaitu bapak Arrahim, M.Pd dan bapak Deden Dicky Dermawan yang telah memberikan arahan dan dukungan sehingga artikel ilmiah dapat diselesaikan dengan baik. Artikel ilmiah ini dipersembahkan untuk kedua orangtua tercinta yaitu bapak Ari Wibowo Sulistyo dan Ibu Eliyati, keluarga tersayang yang tidak dapat disebutkan satu persatu, Terimakasih untuk sahabat saya Marwa Nabihah yang sudah mau menjadi sahabat sekaligus menemani dalam menyelesaikan tugas artikel saya dan terimakasih untuk pacar saya Mohammad Dharusman yang selalu mendukung dalam suka dan duka. Semua pihak yang tidak dapat disebutkan satu per satu yang telah membantu secara langsung maupun tidak langsung yang telah memberikan support selama proses penyusunan artikel ilmiah ini. Terakhir untuk diri saya sendiri Indriyati Sulistio atas semua usaha dan perjuangannya untuk menyelesaikan pendidikan ini dengan baik dan tepat waktu. Besar harapan penulis untuk selalu memperbaiki segala kekurangan karena dalam artikel ilmiah ini masih jauh dari kata sempurna dan semoga artikel ilmiah ini dapat memberikan manfaat bagi penulis dan pembaca.

"Langit tak selalu cerah, tapi hujan pun membawa pelangi."